arXiv:1204.5709v1 [math.KT] 25 Apr 2012

THE THIRD HOMOLOGY OF SL_2 OF HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL LOCAL FIELDS

KEVIN HUTCHINSON

Abstract. We calculate, for certain higher-dimensional local fields F, the third homology of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. The answer is expressed as a direct sum of abelian groups, the first being the indecomposable K_3 of F and all the others being pre-Bloch groups of intermediate residue fields.

1. Introduction

The study of the homology of special linear groups divides naturally into the *stable* and *unstable* cases. For a field F and for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ when m is sufficiently large (see [19, 9]) the groups $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathrm{SL}_m(F), \mathbb{Z})$ stabilize. These stable homology groups embed as natural direct summands in the corresponding homology of the general linear group $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathrm{GL}_m(F), \mathbb{Z})$ and their calculation is therefore closely tied to the calculation of the homology of the general linear group and to algebraic K-theory. When m is small, by contrast, it usually happens that neither the stabilization maps nor the map to the homology of the general linear group is injective and the kernels of these maps are interesting invariants of the field.

The structure of $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathrm{SL}_m(F), \mathbb{Z})$ is well-understood, thanks to the Theorem of Matsumoto-Moore ([11, 13]) and the results of A. Suslin ([17]): Stability begins at $m = 3$ and $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathrm{SL}_m(F), \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_2(F) = K_2^{\mathrm{M}}(F)$ for all $m \geq 3$. The map $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_2(\mathrm{SL}_3(F), \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective and the kernel is naturally $I^3(F)$, the third power of the fundamental ideal of the Witt ring of the field F.

For the groups $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_m(F), \mathbb{Z})$, stability also begins at $m = 3$ and $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_m(F), \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_3(F)/\{-1\} \cdot K_2(F)$ for all $m \geq 3$ ([18, 8]). The map $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_3(F), \mathbb{Z})$ has cokernel isomorphic to $2K_3^{\mathrm{M}}(F)$ and image isomorphic - up to 2-torsion - to $K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)$ ([8]). The group $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z})$ has been much studied because of its connections with K-theory, the dilogarithm function, hyperbolic geometry and other topics ([1, 16, 18, 20, 5, 2, 14]). However, its structure for general fields is far from being understood. For instance the structure of $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Z})$ is not yet known (but see [7]).

For any field F there is a natural surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F) \tag{1}$$

from the third homology of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ to the indecomposable K_3 of the field (see [8] for infinite fields and [6] for finite fields). This map is an isomorphism when F is algebraically, or even quadratically, closed ([16, 12]).

In fact the map (1) is naturally a map of R_F-modules where R_F is the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times/(F^\times)^2]$. The action of R_F on $K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)$ is trivial, while the square class $\langle a \rangle$ of the element $a \in F^\times$ acts on the left via conjugation by the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (or more generally by any 2×2 matrix with

---

Date: March 3, 2013.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19G99, 20G10.

Key words and phrases. K-theory, Group Homology .

determinant a). It turns out – see [4, 12] – that essentially (i.e. up to some possible 2-torsion) the only obstruction to the injectivity of the map (1) is the nontriviality or otherwise of the action of R_F on $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$; i.e. there is an induced isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])_{F^\times}\cong K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

Equivalently we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])\to K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}])=\mathcal{I}_F\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])$$

where $\mathcal{I}_F$ is the augmentation ideal in R_F.

Now for a field F, $K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)$ is closely related to $\mathcal{B}(F)$, the *Bloch group* of F ([3], [18]). This is a natural subgroup of the pre-Bloch group $\mathcal{P}(F)$ (to use the terminology of [18]), which is described by a presentation where the relations are derived from the 5-term functional equation of dilogarithm function.

In [6] we introduced an R_F-module $\mathcal{RB}(F)$ which is closely related to $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$ – for details see section 2.3 below – and which can be explicitly calculated in some interesting cases ([7]). $\mathcal{RB}(F)$ surjects naturally onto $\mathcal{B}(F)$ with kernel $\mathcal{RB}_0(F)$ and we have for any field F

$$\mathcal{RB}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]=\mathcal{I}_F\mathcal{RB}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]=\mathcal{I}_F\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]).$$

The main purpose of the present article is to use the techniques introduced in [7] to calculate this group $\mathcal{RB}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]$ in the following situation: Let $F=F_n,F_{n-1},\ldots,F_0$ be fields with the property that for each $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ there is a complete discrete value v_i on F_i and with residue field F_{i-1}. In F_0 is either quadratically closed, real closed or finite of characteristic at least 5, then Theorem 4.23 below expresses $\mathcal{RB}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]$ in terms of the *pre-Bloch* groups of the intermediate residue fields F_i. (In fact, the requirement on the discrete values in Theorem 4.23 below is significantly weaker than completeness, and $\mathrm{char}(F_0)\in\{2,3\}$ is allowed under additional hypotheses.) For example, the Theorem implies (for $p>3$ prime)

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p((x))),Z[\tfrac{1}{2}])\cong K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathbb{Q}_p((x)))[\tfrac{1}{2}]\oplus\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}_p)[\tfrac{1}{2}]\oplus\left(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_p)[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2}\right)$$

and likewise

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}((x_1))((x_2))),Z[\tfrac{1}{2}])\cong K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathbb{R}((x_1))((x_2)))[\tfrac{1}{2}]\oplus\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}((x_1)))[\tfrac{1}{2}]\oplus\left(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2}\right)$$

2. Bloch Groups

2.1. **Preliminaries and Notation.**

For a field F, we let $\mathcal{S}_F$ denote the multiplicative group, $F^\times/(F^\times)^2$, of nonzero square classes of the field. For $x\in F^\times$, we will let $\langle x\rangle\in\mathcal{S}_F$ denote the corresponding square class. Let R_F denote the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}_F]$ of the group $\mathcal{S}_F$. We will use the notation $\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle$ for the basis elements, $\langle x\rangle-1$, of the augmentation ideal $\mathcal{I}_F$ of R_F.

For any $a\in F^\times$, we will let p_+^a and p_-^a denote respectively the elements $1+\langle a\rangle$ and $1-\langle a\rangle$ in R_F.

For any abelian group A we will let $A[\tfrac{1}{2}]$ denote $A\otimes\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]$. For an integer n, we will let n' denote the odd part of n. Thus if A is a finite abelian group of order n, then $A[\tfrac{1}{2}]$ is a finite abelian group of order n'.

We let e_+^a and e_-^a denote respectively the mutually orthogonal idempotents

$$\mathrm{e}_+^a:=\frac{\mathrm{p}_+^a}{2}=\frac{1+\langle a\rangle}{2},\quad \mathrm{e}_-^a:=\frac{\mathrm{p}_-^a}{2}=\frac{1-\langle a\rangle}{2}\in\mathrm{R}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

(Of course, these operators depend only on the class of a in $\mathcal{S}_F$.)

For an abelian group A and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $A[n]$ will denote the subgroup $\{a\in A\mid na=0\}$.

2.2. **The classical Bloch group.** For a field F, with at least 4 elements, the *pre-Bloch group*, $\mathcal{P}(F)$, is the group generated by the elements $[x]$, $x \in F^\times \setminus \{1\}$, subject to the relations

$$R_{x,y}: \quad [x]-[y]+\left[\frac{y}{x}\right]-\left[\frac{1-x^{-1}}{1-y^{-1}}\right]+\left[\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right] \quad x \neq y.$$

Let $\mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times)$ denote the group

$$\frac{F^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F^\times}{< x\otimes y + y\otimes x | x,y \in F^\times >}$$

and denote by $x \circ y$ the image of $x\otimes y$ in $\mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times)$.

The map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{P}(F) \to \mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times), \quad [x] \mapsto (1-x)\circ x$$

is well-defined, and the *Bloch group of* F, $\mathcal{B}(F) \subset \mathcal{P}(F)$, is defined to be the kernel of λ.

The Bloch group is closely related to the indecomposable K_3 of the field F: For any field F there is a natural exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbb{Z}}_1(\mu_F,\mu_F)} \to K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F) \to \mathcal{B}(F) \to 0$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbb{Z}}_1(\mu_F,\mu_F)}$ is the unique nontrivial extension of $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbb{Z}}_1(\mu_F,\mu_F)$ by $\mathbb{Z}/2$. (See Suslin [18] for infinite fields and [6] for finite fields.)

2.3. **The refined Bloch group and** $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$**.** For any field F there is a natural surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z}) \to K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F). \tag{2}$$

When F is quadratically closed (i.e. when $\mathcal{S}_F = 1$) this map is an isomorphism. However, in general, the group extension

$$1 \to \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \to F^\times \to 1$$

induces an action – by conjugation – of $F^\times$ on $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$ which factors through $\mathcal{S}_F$. It can be shown that the map (2) induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])_{\mathcal{S}_F} \cong K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

(see [12]), but – as our calculations in [7] and below show – the action of $\mathcal{S}_F$ on $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$ is in general non-trivial.

Thus $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$ is naturally an R_F-module, and for general fields, in order to give a Bloch-type description of it, we must incorporate the R_F-module structure at each stage of the process.

The *refined pre-Bloch group*, $\mathcal{RP}(F)$, of a field F which has at least 4 elements, is the R_F-module with generators $[x]$, $x \in F^\times$ subject to the relations $[1]=0$ and

$$S_{x,y}: \quad 0=[x]-[y]+\langle x\rangle\left[\frac{y}{x}\right]-\left\langle x^{-1}-1\right\rangle\left[\frac{1-x^{-1}}{1-y^{-1}}\right]+\langle 1-x\rangle\left[\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right], \quad x,y\neq 1$$

Of course, from the definition it follows immediately that $\mathcal{P}(F) = (\mathcal{RP}(F))_{\mathcal{S}_F} = \mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{S}_F,\mathcal{RP}(F))$.

Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ be the R_F-module homomorphism

$$\mathcal{RP}(F) \to \mathcal{I}^2_F \oplus \mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times)$$

where $\lambda_1 : \mathcal{RP}(F) \to \mathcal{I}^2_F$ is the map $[x] \mapsto \langle\langle 1-x\rangle\rangle\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle$, and λ_2 is the composite

$$\mathcal{RP}(F) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{P}(F) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times).$$

It can be shown that Λ is well-defined.

The *refined Bloch group* of the field F (with at least 4 elements) to be the R_F-module

$$\mathcal{RB}(F) := \mathrm{Ker}(\Lambda : \mathcal{RP}(F) \to \mathcal{I}_F^2 \oplus \mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times)).$$

For the fields with 2 and 3 elements the following somewhat *ad hoc* definitions allow us to include these fields in the statements of our results.

$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathcal{RP}(\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathcal{RB}(\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is simply an additive group of order 3 with distinguished generator, denoted $C_{\mathbb{F}_2}$.

$\mathcal{RP}(\mathbb{F}_3)$ is the cyclic $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbb{F}_3}$-module generated by the symbol $[-1]$ and subject to the one relation

$$0 = 2 \cdot ([-1] + \langle -1 \rangle [-1]).$$

The homomorphism

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{RP}(\mathbb{F}_3) \to \mathcal{I}^2_{\mathbb{F}_3} = 2 \cdot \mathbb{Z} \langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle$$

is the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbb{F}_3}$-homomorphism sending $[-1]$ to $\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle^2 = -2 \langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle$.

Then $\mathcal{RB}(\mathbb{F}_3) = \mathrm{Ker}(\Lambda)$ is the submodule of order 2 generated by $[-1] + \langle -1 \rangle [-1]$.

Furthermore, we let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_3) = \mathcal{RP}(\mathbb{F}_3)_{\mathbb{F}_3^\times}$. This is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}$-module of order 4 with generator $[-1]$. Let $\lambda : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_3) \to \mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_3^\times)$ be the map $[-1] \mapsto -1 \circ -1$. Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_3) := \mathrm{Ker}(\lambda) = \mathcal{RB}(\mathbb{F}_3)$.

We recall some results from [6]: The main result there is

Theorem 2.1. *Let F be any field.*

If F is infinite, there is a natural complex

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mu_F, \mu_F) \to \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{RB}(F) \to 0.$$

which is exact everywhere except possibly at the middle term. The middle homology is annihilated by 4.

In particular, for any infinite field there is a natural short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mu_F, \mu_F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \to \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \to \mathcal{RB}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \to 0.$$

Now for any field F, let

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z})_0 := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}) \to K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F))$$

and

$$\mathcal{RB}_0(F) := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{RB}(F) \to \mathcal{B}(F))$$

The following is Lemma 5.2 in [6].

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be an infinite field. Then*

(1) $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])_0 = \mathcal{RB}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]$
(2) $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])_0 = \mathcal{I}_F \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])$ *and* $\mathcal{RB}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] = \mathcal{I}_F \mathcal{RB}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]$.
(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])_0 &= \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \to \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_3(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])) \\ &= \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \to \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{GL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}])) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. *If the field F is quadratically closed, real-closed or finite then $\mathcal{I}_F \mathcal{RB}(F) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$ maps onto $\mathcal{RB}(F)$ as an R_F-module, this follows from the fact that $\mathcal{S}_F$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$ in each of these cases.

For a quadratically closed field this is vacuously true.

This result is proved by Parry and Sah in [15] for the field $\mathbb{R}$, but their argument extends easily to any real-closed field.

For finite fields, the relevant result is Lemma 3.8 of [6]. □

Furthermore, the calculations in [6], sections 5 and 7, show that

Lemma 2.4.

$$\mathcal{RB}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/(q+1)/2, & q \text{ odd} \\ \mathbb{Z}/(q+1), & q \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

and if $K \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *is a cyclic subgroup of order* $(q+1)'$ *then the composite map*

$$\mathbb{Z}/(q+1)' \cong \mathrm{H}_3(K,\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \to \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q),\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_q)[\tfrac{1}{2}] = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_q)[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

is an isomorphism.

2.4. **The modules $\mathcal{K}_F^{(i)}$.** In [18] Suslin defines the elements $\{x\} := [x]+\left[x^{-1}\right] \in \mathcal{P}(F)$ and shows that they satisfy

$$\{xy\} = \{x\} + \{y\} \text{ and } 2\{x\} = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in F^\times.$$

In particular, $\{x\} = 0$ in $\mathcal{P}(F)[\frac{1}{2}]$.

There are two natural liftings of these elements to $\mathcal{RP}(F)$: given $x \in F^\times$ we define

$$\psi_1(x) := [x] + \langle -1\rangle \left[x^{-1}\right]$$

and

$$\psi_2(x) := \begin{cases} \langle 1-x\rangle \left(\langle x\rangle [x] + \left[x^{-1}\right]\right), & x \neq 1 \\ 0, & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

(If $F = \mathbb{F}_2$, we interpret this as $\psi_i(1) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. For $F = \mathbb{F}_3$, we have $\psi_1(-1) = \psi_2(-1) = [-1] + \langle -1\rangle [-1]$.)

We summarize here some of the basic facts about these elements of $\mathcal{RP}(F)$ (see [7])

Lemma 2.5. *For* $i = 1, 2$ *we have*

1. $\psi_i(xy) = \langle x\rangle \psi_i(y) + \psi_i(x)$ *for all* $x, y \in F^\times$.
2. $\lambda_1(\psi_i(x)) = -\mathrm{p}_+^{-1}\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle -x\rangle\rangle \cdot \langle\langle x\rangle\rangle \in \mathcal{I}_F^2$ *for all* $x \in F^\times$.
3. $\lambda_2(\psi_i(x)) = \lambda(\{x\}) = x \circ (-x) \in \mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times)$ *for all* $x \in F^\times$.

Let $\mathcal{K}_F^{(i)}$ denote the R_F-submodule of $\mathcal{RP}(F)$ generated by the set $\{\psi_i(x) \mid x \in F^\times\}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let F be a field. Then for* $i \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\lambda_1\left(\mathcal{K}_F^{(i)}\right) = \mathrm{p}_+^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_F) \subset \mathcal{I}_F^2$$

and $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda_1|_{\mathcal{K}_F^{(i)}})$ *is annihilated by* 4.

Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{RP}}(F) := \mathcal{RP}(F)/\mathcal{K}_F^{(1)} \text{ and } \tilde{\mathrm{S}}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times) = \mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times)/\left\langle x \circ (-x) | x \in F^\times\right\rangle.$$

Then the R_F-homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\Lambda} = (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2) : \widetilde{\mathcal{RP}}(F) \to \frac{\mathcal{I}_F^2}{\mathrm{p}_+^{-1}\mathcal{I}_F} \oplus \tilde{\mathrm{S}}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times), [x] \mapsto (\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle \langle\langle 1-x\rangle\rangle, x \circ (1-x))$$

is well-defined and we set $\widetilde{\mathcal{RB}}(F) := \mathrm{Ker}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$.

Corollary 2.7. *The natural map $\mathcal{RB}(F) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{RB}}(F)$ is surjective with kernel annihilated by* 4. *In particular,*

$$\mathcal{RB}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] = \widetilde{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

2.5. **The module $\mathcal{D}_F$.** In [18], Suslin shows that the elements $[x] + [1 - x] \in \mathcal{B}(F) \subset \mathcal{P}(F)$ are independent of x and that the resulting constant, $\tilde{C}_F$ has order dividing 6. Furthermore Suslin shows that $\tilde{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$ has exact order 6.

In [7] it is shown that the elements

$$C(x) = [x] + \langle -1\rangle\,[1 - x] + \langle\langle 1 - x\rangle\rangle\,\psi_1\,(x) \in \mathcal{RB}(F)$$

are constant (for a field with at least 4 elements) and have order dividing 6.

When F has at least 4 elements, we denote this constant C_F and we set $D_F = 2C_F$. Of course, C_F maps to $\tilde{C}_F$ under the natural map $\mathcal{RP}(F) \to \mathcal{P}(F)$.

Furthermore, we let $C_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ denote the distinguished generator of $\mathcal{RB}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of order 3 and we set $C_{\mathbb{F}_3} := \psi_1\,(() - 1) = (1 + \langle -1\rangle)\,[-1]$.

In [7] it is shown that the element D_F has the following homological interpretation:

Let t denote the matrix of order 3

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

It can be shown that $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$ is cyclic of order 12 and that the inclusion $G := \langle t\rangle \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})[3]$.

For fields F with at least 4 elements the natural map $\mathbb{Z}/3 = \mathrm{H}_3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})[3] \to \mathcal{RB}(F)[3]$ sends the generator of this cyclic group to $4C_F = -D_F$. (While for the field $F = \mathbb{F}_2$ we *define* the map $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{RB}(\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ by requiring that this be the case.)

Proposition 2.8. *For a field F we have $D_F = 0$ if and only if the equation $X^2 - X + 1 = 0$ is solvable in F.*

Proof. If $x \in F$ satisfies $x^2 - x + 1 = 0$, then $1 - x = x^{-1}$ and the argument of [7] Corollary 3.9 shows that $C_F = \psi_1\,(-1)$ which has order dividing 2.

Now if $\mathrm{char}(F) = 3$ then -1 is a root of $X^2 - X + 1$ and hence $D_F = 0$.

So we may assume that $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 3$. Thus $X^2 - X + 1$ has a root in F if and only if F contains a primitive cube root of unity, ζ_3. Let k be the prime subfield of F. If $k = \mathbb{Q}$, then $2\tilde{C}_k = 2\tilde{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Q})$ has order 3 (since $\mathbb{Q}$ embeds in $\mathbb{R}$). On the other hand, if $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ then $2\tilde{C}_k$ has order 3 if and only if $p \equiv -1 \pmod 3$ by [6] Lemma 7.11. Thus, in all cases, $2\tilde{C}_k = 0$ in $\mathcal{B}(k)$ if and only if $\zeta_3 \in k$.

Of course, $\tilde{C}_k \mapsto \tilde{C}_F$ under the map $\mathcal{B}(k) \to \mathcal{B}(F)$. But, by Suslin's Theorem ([18] Theorem 5.2) we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mu_k, \mu_k)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \mathcal{B}(k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mu_F, \mu_F)} \arrow[r] & K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{B}(F) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the middle vertical arrow is injective ([10], Corollary 4.6). If $2\tilde{C}_k \neq 0$, then it lies in the kernel of $\mathcal{B}(k) \to \mathcal{B}(F)$ only if $3|\mu_F$. Thus $2\tilde{C}_F = 0$ only if $\zeta_3 \in F$. □

We recall also the following ([7] Theorem 3.13):

Theorem 2.9. *Let F be a field. Then*

1. *For all $x \in F^\times$, $\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle D_F = \psi_1(x) - \psi_2(x)$.*
2. *Let $E = F(\zeta_3)$. Then $\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle D_F = 0$ if $x \in \pm N_{E/F}(E^\times) \subset F^\times$.*

We let $\mathcal{D}_F$ denote the cyclic R_F-submodule of $\mathcal{RB}(F)$ generated by D_F. Of course, since $3D_F = 0$ always, in fact $\mathcal{D}_F$ is a module over the group ring $\mathbb{F}_3[\mathcal{S}_F]$.

By Theorem 2.9 (2), we have $\langle x\rangle D_F = D_F$ if $x \in \mathcal{N}_F := \pm N_{F(\zeta_3)/F}(F(\zeta_3)^\times)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_F = F^\times/\mathcal{N}_F$. Thus the action of $\mathcal{S}_F$ on D_F factors through the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_F$, and hence $\mathcal{D}_F$ is a cyclic module over the ring $\widehat{\mathrm{R}_F} := \mathbb{F}_3[\overline{\mathcal{S}}_F]$.

Remark 2.10. The results of section 4 below (see Corollary 4.22) show that if F is a higher-dimensional local field, satisfying certain conditions, then $\mathcal{D}_F$ is a free of rank one over $\widehat{\mathrm{R}_F}$. In this case it follows that we have a converse to (2) of Theorem 2.9: $\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle D_F = 0$ if and only $x \in \mathcal{N}_F$. (And hence, over such fields, $\psi_1(x) = \psi_2(x)$ if and only if $x \in \mathcal{N}_F$.)

Remark 2.11. Suppose that $D_F \neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 3$ and 3 does not divide $|\mu_F|$. It follows that the homomorphism $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{RB}(F)$ induces an isomorphism of R_F-modules $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})[3] \cong \mathcal{RB}(F)[3]$. Under this isomorphism, $\mathcal{D}_F$ corresponds to the R_F-submodule of $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F),\mathbb{Z})$ generated by the image of $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z})[3]$.

2.6. **Reduced Bloch Groups.** We introduce some quotient groups of (pre-)Bloch groups which will be required in our computations below.

First recall that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{RP}}(F) = \frac{\mathcal{RP}(F)}{\mathcal{K}_F^{(1)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{RB}}(F) = \mathrm{Ker}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{RP}}(F).$$

Furthermore (2.7) the map $\mathcal{RB}(F) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{RB}}(F)$ is surjective with kernel annihilated by 4. Since $\mathcal{D}_F$ is annihilated by 3, it follows that the composite

$$\mathcal{D}_F \to \mathcal{RB}(F) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{RB}}(F)$$

is injective and we will identify $\mathcal{D}_F$ with its image in $\widetilde{\mathcal{RB}}(F)$.

We will let $\mathcal{D}_F^0$ denote the submodule $\mathcal{I}_F\mathcal{D}_F$ of $\mathcal{D}_F$. (So $\mathcal{D}_F/\mathcal{D}_F^0 \cong \mathbb{Z}\cdot D_F$ has order 3 if $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 3$ and $\zeta_3 \notin F$, and is zero otherwise.)

We further define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{RP}(F)} := \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{RP}}(F)}{\mathcal{D}_F^0} = \frac{\mathcal{RP}(F)}{\mathcal{K}_F^{(1)} + \mathcal{D}_F^0}$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F) := \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{RP}}(F)}{\mathcal{D}_F} = \frac{\mathcal{RP}(F)}{\mathcal{K}_F^{(1)} + \mathcal{D}_F} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F) := \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{RB}}(F)}{\mathcal{D}_F}.$$

Corollary 2.7 implies:

Lemma 2.12. *For any field F there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}_F \to \mathcal{RB}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \to \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \to 0.$$

Observe also that $\mathcal{K}_F^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{K}_F^{(1)} + \mathcal{D}_F^0$ by Theorem 2.9 (2). It follows that for $i = 1, 2$ and all x we have $\psi_i(x) = 0$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{RP}(F)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$.

Lemma 2.13. *Let F be a field.*

1. *For all x, $\left[x^{-1}\right] = -\langle -1\rangle [x] = -\langle x\rangle [x]$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{RP}(F)}$.*
2. *For all x, $\langle\langle y\rangle\rangle [x] = 0$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{RP}(F)}$ whenever $y \in \langle -x\rangle$.*
3. *For all x, $[1-x] = \langle -1\rangle [x] = \left[x^{-1}\right]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$.*

Proof. (1) The first equality follows from $\psi_1(x) = 0$, the second from $\psi_2(x) = 0$.

(2) From $\langle x\rangle [x] = \langle -1\rangle [x]$ it follows that $\langle y\rangle [x] = \langle -x\rangle [x] = [x]$.

(3) Since $C_F \equiv -D_F \pmod{\mathcal{K}^{(1)}_F}$ ([7],Lemma 4.1) it follows that $C_F = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$, and thus we have (from the definition of C_F) that $0 = [x] + \langle -1\rangle [1-x]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$.

□

3. VALUATIONS

Given a field F with a valuation $v : F^\times \to \Gamma$ and corresponding residue field k, we let $U = U_F$ denote the corresponding group of units and $U_1 = U_1(F)$ the units mapping to 1 in $k^\times$. Given any R_k-module M, we define the induced R_F-module

$$M_F := \mathrm{R}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[U]} M.$$

Observe that when $U_1 = U_1^2$ then there is a natural (split) short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to \mathcal{S}_k \to \mathcal{S}_F \to \Gamma/2 \to 0$$

and $\mathrm{R}_k \subset \mathrm{R}_F$, so that $M_F = \mathrm{R}_F \otimes_{\mathrm{R}_k} M$ in this case.

We recall from [7] (Theorem 4.4) that there is a natural surjective specialization homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S := S_v : \mathcal{RP}(F) & \to & \widehat{\mathcal{RP}(k)}_F \\ [a] & \mapsto & \left\{ \begin{array}{rl} 1 \otimes [\bar{a}], & v(a) = 0 \\ 1 \otimes C_k, & v(a) > 0 \\ -(1 \otimes C_k), & v(a) < 0 \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

We will also let S_v denote the induced (surjective) specialization homomorphism on fully reduced pre-Bloch groups

$$S_v : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F) \to \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F, \quad [a] \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 \otimes [\bar{a}], & v(a) = 0 \\ 0, & v(a) \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Given a triple (F, v, k), we let $\mathcal{L}_v$ denote the R_F-submodule of $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$ generated by $\{[a] \mid v(a) \neq 0\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a short exact sequence of* R_F*-modules*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{L}_v \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F) \arrow[r, "S_v"] & \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{L}_v \subset \mathrm{Ker}(S_v)$ and hence S_v induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v := \frac{\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)}{\mathcal{L}_v} \to \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F.$$

By definition, if $a \in F^\times$ with $v(a) \neq 0$, then $[a] = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v$. Thus if $u \in U_1$, then $[u] = -\langle -1\rangle [1-u] = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v$ since $v(1-u) > 0$.

Now suppose that $a \in U \setminus U_1$ and $u \in U_1$. Then

$$0 = [a] - [au] + \langle a\rangle [u] - \left\langle a^{-1} - 1\right\rangle \left[u \cdot \frac{1-a}{1-au}\right] + \langle 1-a\rangle \left[\frac{1-a}{1-au}\right] = [a] - [au] \text{ in } \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v$$

since $1 - a \equiv 1 - au \pmod{U_1}$. Thus for $a \in U$ the element $[a]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v$ depends only on image, $\bar{a}$, of a in $k^\times$.

Furthermore, the action of $\mathbb{Z}[U]$ on $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v$ descends to an R_k-module structure: Let $a \in U$ and $u \in U_1$. Then $\langle -a\rangle [a] = [a]$ by Lemma 2.13. However, $[a] = [au]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v$. Thus, we also have $\langle -au\rangle [a] = [a]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v$, from which it follows that

$$\langle u\rangle [a] = \langle -a\rangle [a] = [a] \text{ in } \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v.$$

It therefore follows that there is a well-defined R_k-module homomorphism

$$T_v : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k) \to \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v, \quad [\bar{a}] \mapsto [a]$$

which extends to an R_F-module homomorphism

$$T_{v,F} : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F \to \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v.$$

Since $T_{v,F}$ is surjective by the work above, and since clearly $S_v \circ T_{v,F} = \mathrm{Id}_{\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F}$, it follows that $S_v : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_v \to \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F$ is an isomorphism with inverse $T_{v,F}$. □

Recall that for any field there is a natural homomorphism of R_F-modules

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \tilde{\lambda}_{1,F} : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F) \to M(F) := \frac{\mathcal{I}_F^2}{\mathrm{p}_-^{-1}\mathcal{I}_F}.$$

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that $U_1 = U_1^2$. Then the homomorphism $S_v : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F) \to \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F$ induces a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{L}_v[\tfrac{1}{2}] \to \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1,F})[\tfrac{1}{2}] \to \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1,k})[\tfrac{1}{2}]_F \to 0.$$

Proof. For any field F we have

$$M(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] = \frac{\mathcal{I}_F^2[\tfrac{1}{2}]}{\mathrm{e}_-^{-1}\mathcal{I}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}]} = \frac{\mathcal{I}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}]}{\mathrm{e}_+^{-1}\mathcal{I}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}]} \cong \mathrm{e}_-^{-1}\mathcal{I}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

since $\mathcal{I}_F/\mathcal{I}_F^2 \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ is 2-torsion. Thus, since $\mathrm{e}_-^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}] \subset \mathrm{R}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}]$, there is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{R}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}]$-modules

$$M(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \mathrm{e}_-^{-1}\mathrm{R}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}], \quad x \mapsto \mathrm{e}_-^{-1}x.$$

It follows that

$$M(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_F \cong \mathrm{R}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}] \otimes_{\mathrm{R}_k[\frac{1}{2}]} \mathrm{e}_-^{-1}\mathrm{R}_k[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \mathrm{e}_-^{-1}\mathrm{R}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong M(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

and the resulting isomorphism $s_v : M(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong M(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_F$ is given by $x \mapsto x \otimes \mathrm{e}_-^{-1}$.

It remains to verify that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \arrow[r, "\tilde{\lambda}_{1,F}"] \arrow[d, "S_v"] & M(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \arrow[d, "s_v", "\cong"'] \\
\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_F \arrow[r, "\tilde{\lambda}_{1,k}"] & M(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_F
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes: Let $[a] \in \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$. If $a \in U \setminus U_1$ then

$$s_v(\tilde{\lambda}_1([a])) = s_v(\langle\langle a\rangle\rangle\langle\langle 1-a\rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle a\rangle\rangle\langle\langle 1-a\rangle\rangle \otimes \mathrm{e}_-^{-1} = 1 \otimes \mathrm{e}_-^{-1}\langle\langle \bar{a}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle 1-\bar{a}\rangle\rangle = \tilde{\lambda}_1(S_v([a]).$$

To finish, we must show that if $a \notin U \setminus U_1$ then $\tilde{\lambda}_{1,F}([a]) = 0$. If $a \in U_1$, then a is a square, by hypothesis, and thus $\tilde{\lambda}_1([a]) = 0$. Similarly, if $v(a) > 0$ then $1-a$ is a square and $\tilde{\lambda}_1([a]) = 0$ again. Finally, if $v(a) < 0$ then $1-a \equiv -a \pmod{U_1}$ and thus $1-a \equiv -a \pmod{F^\times}$ and hence

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1([a]) = \langle\langle a\rangle\rangle\langle\langle 1-a\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle a\rangle\rangle\langle\langle -a\rangle\rangle = -\mathrm{p}_+^{-1}\langle\langle a\rangle\rangle = 2\mathrm{e}_+^{-1}\langle\langle a\rangle\rangle = 0 \text{ in } M(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

□

4. Main Theorem

4.1. **Some preliminaries.** For a character $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_F, \mu_2)$, let I^χ be the ideal of R_F generated by the elements $\{\langle a\rangle - \chi(a) \mid \langle a\rangle \in \mathcal{S}_F\}$. In other words I^χ is the kernel of the ring homomorphism $\rho(\chi) : \mathrm{R}_F \to \mathbb{Z}$ sending $\langle a\rangle$ to $\chi(a)$ for any $a \in F^\times$.

If M is an R_F-module, we let $M^\chi = I^\chi M$ and we let

$$M_\chi := M/M^\chi = (\mathrm{R}_F/I^\chi) \otimes_{\mathrm{R}_F} M = (\mathrm{R}_F)_\chi \otimes_{\mathrm{R}_F} M.$$

In particular, if $\chi = 1$ then $I^\chi = \mathcal{I}_F$ and $M_\chi = M_{\mathcal{S}_F}$.

Given $\langle a\rangle \in \mathcal{S}_F$ and $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$, let $\mathrm{e}^a_{\pm\chi} \in \mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$ denote the idempotent

$$\frac{1 \pm \chi(a)\langle a\rangle}{2} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{e}^a_+, & \pm\chi(a) = 1 \\ \mathrm{e}^a_-, & \pm\chi(a) = -1 \end{cases}$$

so that $\langle a\rangle\, \mathrm{e}^a_{\pm\chi} = \pm\chi(a)\mathrm{e}^a_{\pm\chi}$ for all a. Of course, we have

$$\mathrm{e}^a_\chi + \mathrm{e}^a_{-\chi} = 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{e}^a_\chi \cdot \mathrm{e}^a_{-\chi} = 0$$

for all a, χ.

More generally, for any finite subset S of $\mathcal{S}_F$ and any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$, let

$$\mathrm{e}^S_{\pm\chi} := \prod_{\langle a\rangle \in S} \mathrm{e}^a_{\pm\chi} = \prod_{\langle a\rangle \in S} \left(\frac{1 \pm \chi(a)\langle a\rangle}{2}\right) \in \mathrm{R}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

Lemma 4.1. *For any character χ and finite $S \subset \mathcal{S}_F$, $1 - \mathrm{e}^S_\chi \in I^\chi \subset \mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$.*

Proof. This follows from $\rho(\chi)(\mathrm{e}^a_\chi) = 1$ for any $a \in F^\times$. □

Corollary 4.2. *For any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$ and $\mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$-module M, we have*

$$M^\chi = \{m \in M \mid \mathrm{e}^S_\chi m = 0 \textit{ for some finite } S \subset \mathcal{S}_F\}.$$

Proof. Let $m \in M^\chi$. Then there exist $a_1, \ldots a_r \in F^\times$ such that

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{e}^{a_i}_{-\chi} m_i$$

from which it follows that $\mathrm{e}^S_\chi m = 0$ where $S = \{\langle a_1\rangle, \ldots, \langle a_r\rangle\}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathrm{e}^S_\chi m = 0$ for some finite set S. Then

$$m = (1 - \mathrm{e}^S_\chi) \cdot m \in M^\chi$$

by Lemma 4.1 □

Corollary 4.3. *The functor $M \mapsto M_\chi$ is an exact functor on the category of $\mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$-modules.*

Proof. Since this is the functor $(\mathrm{R}_F)_\chi \otimes_{\mathrm{R}_F} (-)$ it is right-exact. On the other hand, if N is an $\mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$-submodule of M then Corollary 4.2 implies immediately that $N^\chi = M^\chi \cap N$, from which left-exactness follows. □

Note that, in practice, we may assume, when required, that S is a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_F$:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $S \subset \mathcal{S}_F$ be a finite set and let $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$. Let T be the subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_F$ generated by S. Then $\mathrm{e}^S_\chi = \mathrm{e}^T_\chi$.*

Proof. Let $\langle b\rangle \in T$. Then there exist $\langle a_1\rangle, \ldots, \langle a_r\rangle \in S$ with $\langle b\rangle = \prod_i \langle a_i\rangle$. Now $\langle a_i\rangle \mathrm{e}^S_\chi = \chi(a_i)\mathrm{e}^S_\chi$ for each i. It follows that $\langle b\rangle \mathrm{e}^S_\chi = \chi(b)\mathrm{e}^S_\chi$ and hence that $\mathrm{e}^S_\chi = \mathrm{e}^b_\chi \mathrm{e}^S_\chi$. □

When $\mathcal{S}_F$ itself is finite, we let $\mathrm{e}_\chi := \mathrm{e}^{\mathcal{S}_F}_\chi$.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $|\mathcal{S}_F| < \infty$ and M is an $\mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$-module. For any character χ the composite*

$$\mathrm{e}_\chi M \hookrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow M_\chi$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$-modules.

Proof. Since $1 - \mathrm{e}_\chi \in I^\chi$ by 4.1, while $\mathrm{e}_\chi I^\chi = 0$, it follows that $I^\chi = (1 - \mathrm{e}_\chi)\mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$ and more generally that $M^\chi = (1 - \mathrm{e}_\chi)M$.

Thus $M = \mathrm{e}_\chi M \oplus (1 - \mathrm{e}_\chi)M = \mathrm{e}_\chi M \oplus M^\chi$ as an $\mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$-module. □

For any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$ and $a \in F^\times$, we will let $[a]_\chi$ denote the image of $[a]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_\chi$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$. Then $2\,[a]_\chi = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_\chi$ if $\chi(\langle -a\rangle) = -1$.*

Proof. By definition of M_χ, $(\langle -a\rangle + 1)x = 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_\chi$. On the other hand, $(\langle -a\rangle - 1)\,[a] = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$ by Lemma 2.13. Thus $0 = (1 + \langle -a\rangle)\,[a]_\chi + (1 - \langle -a\rangle)\,[a]_\chi = 2\,[a]_\chi$. □

4.2. **The theorem.** Suppose that $v : F^\times \to \Gamma$ is a valuation with residue field k. *Suppose further that* $U_1 = U_1^2$. Then $U/2 \cong \mathcal{S}_k$ and with this identification $\mathcal{S}_k$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_F$ and there is a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-modules

$$1 \to \mathcal{S}_k \to \mathcal{S}_F \to \Gamma/2 \to 0.$$

Let $j : \Gamma/2 \to \mathcal{S}_F$ be a choice of splitting of this sequence. Then there is an induced isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}_k} \times \widehat{\Gamma/2} \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}, \quad (\chi, \psi) \mapsto \chi \times_j \psi$$

where

$$(\chi \times_j \psi)(\langle u \cdot j(\gamma)\rangle) := \chi(\langle \bar{u}\rangle) \cdot \psi(\gamma).$$

The inverse map is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}_F} \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}_k} \times \widehat{\Gamma/2}, \quad \rho \mapsto (\rho|_{\mathcal{S}_k}, \rho \circ j).$$

Recall that given a splitting j and a character $\psi \in \widehat{\Gamma/2}$ there is a ring homomorphism $\rho_{j,\psi} : \mathrm{R}_F \to \mathrm{R}_k$ sending $\langle j(\gamma) \cdot u\rangle$ to $\psi(\gamma)\langle \bar{u}\rangle$, and an associated 'restriction' functor giving any R_k-module M the structure of an R_F-module via

$$\langle j(\gamma) \cdot u\rangle\, m := \psi(\gamma)\,\langle \bar{u}\rangle \cdot m$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $u \in U_v$ and $m \in M$. We will denote this R_F-module structure by $M(j,\psi)$. Furthermore, given any R_F-module we let $M_{(j,\psi)}$ denote the R_F-module $\mathrm{R}_k \otimes_{\mathrm{R}_F} M \otimes$ obtained from the map $\rho_{j,\psi}$. We record the following observations for future use:

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose given a splitting j and $\psi \in \widehat{\Gamma/2}$.*

1. *For any R_k-module M we have $(M_F)_{(j,\psi)} \cong M(j,\psi)$ as R_F-modules.*
2. *For any R_F-module M and for any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_k}$ we have*
$$M_{\chi\times_j\psi} = \left(M_{(j,\psi)}\right)_{\chi}.$$
as R_F-modules.

Proof. (1) This is immediate from the definitions.

(2) The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{R}_F \arrow[r, "\rho_{j,\psi}"] \arrow[dr, "\rho(\chi\times_j\psi)"'] & \mathrm{R}_k \arrow[d, "\rho(\chi)"] \\
 & \mathbb{Z}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes; i.e. $\rho(\chi\times_j\psi) = \rho(\chi)\circ\rho_{j,\psi}$.

□

Corollary 4.8. *The specialization map $S_v : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F) \to \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F$ induces a surjective homomorphism*
$$S_{(j,\psi)} : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_{(j,\psi)} \to \left(\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_F\right)_{(j,\psi)} = \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)(j,\psi).$$
For any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_k}$ it induces a homomorphism
$$S_{\chi\times_j\psi} : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_{\chi\times_j\psi} \to \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)_{\chi}.$$

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$. Suppose $a \in F^\times$ and $[b]_\chi = 0$ for all $b \in \langle a\rangle$ satisfying $v(b) \neq 0$. Then $[b]_\chi = 0$ for all $b \in \langle -a\rangle$ satisfying $v(b) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $b \in \langle -a\rangle$ with $v(b) \neq 0$. Since $\left[b^{-1}\right] = -\langle -1\rangle[b]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$, we can suppose that $v(b) < 0$. But then $1-b = -b(1-b^{-1}) = -bw$ with $w \in U_1$. Since $U_1 = U_1^2$, $w \in (F^\times)^2$ and $1-b \in \langle -b\rangle = \langle a\rangle$. Since $v(1-b) = v(b)$, it follows that $[1-b]_\chi = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)_\chi$. Thus $[b]_\chi = 0$ since $[b] = -\langle -1\rangle[1-b]$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)$. □

Proposition 4.10. *Suppose that F is a field with valuation $v : F^\times \to \Gamma$ with residue field k satisfying*

1. $U_1 = U_1^2$
2. *Γ has a minimal positive element γ_0*
3. *k is either perfect or of characteristic not equal 2.*

Suppose given a character $\psi \in \widehat{\Gamma/2}$ satisfying $\psi(\gamma_0) = -1$. Then for any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_k}$ and any splitting $j : \Gamma/2 \to \mathcal{S}_F$ we have
$$\left(\mathcal{L}_v[\tfrac{1}{2}]\right)_{\chi\times_j\psi} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\rho := \chi\times_j\psi$. We must prove that $[a]_\rho = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\rho$ whenever $v(a) \neq 0$.

Now, if $\rho(\langle -a\rangle) = 1$, then $[a]_\rho = 0$ by Lemma 4.6.

On the other hand, since $\left[a^{-1}\right] = -\langle -1\rangle [a]$, it is enough to prove that $[a]_\rho = 0$ whenever $v(a) > 0$. Thus we can suppose for the remainder of the proof that $\rho(\langle -a\rangle) = 1$ and $v(a) > 0$.

Suppose that $\chi(\langle -1\rangle) = -1$. Then $\rho(\langle -1\rangle) = -1$ and $\rho(\langle a\rangle) = -1$. Thus $[b]_\rho = 0$ for all $b \in \langle -a\rangle$ by Lemma 4.6 again. It follows that $[b]_\rho = 0$ for all $b \in \langle a\rangle$ with $v(b) \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.9. In particular, it follows that $[a]_\rho = 0$ as required.

Thus we can assume that $\rho(\langle -1\rangle) = \chi(\langle -1\rangle) = 1$.

Let $\gamma = v(a) > 0$. Then $a = p \cdot u$ where $\langle p\rangle = j(\langle\gamma\rangle)$ $u \in U$. We consider two cases:

Case (i) $\psi(\gamma) = 1$ (and hence $\chi(u) = 1$ also).

Let $\pi \in F^\times$ satisfy $v(\pi) = \gamma_0$ and $\pi \in j(\langle\gamma_0\rangle)$.

Observe that for any $x \in F^\times$, if $\rho(\pm x) = 1$ then $\rho(\pm\pi x) = -1$ and thus $[\pm\pi x]_\rho = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\frac{1}{2}]_\rho$ by Lemma 4.6.

In $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\frac{1}{2}]_\rho$ we have

$$0 = S_{\frac{1}{\pi},\frac{a}{\pi}} = \left[\frac{1}{\pi}\right]_\rho - \left[\frac{a}{\pi}\right]_\rho \pm [a]_\rho \pm [az]_\rho \pm [z]_\rho$$

where

$$z := \frac{1-\frac{1}{\pi}}{1-\frac{a}{\pi}}.$$

But

$$v\left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right) = \gamma - \gamma_0 > 0$$

since $\gamma \neq \gamma_0$ (because $\psi(\gamma) = 1$ while $\psi(\gamma_0) = -1$). Thus

$$1 - \frac{a}{\pi} \in U_1 \subset (F^\times)^2.$$

It follows that

$$\langle z\rangle = \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{\pi}\right\rangle = \langle -\pi\rangle$$

and thus $[z]_\rho = 0 = [1/\pi]_\rho$ by the remarks just above. Similarly $\langle az\rangle = \langle -a\pi\rangle$ and thus $[az]_\rho = 0 = [a/\pi]_\rho$.

It follows that $[a]_\rho = 0$ as required.

Case (ii) $\psi(\gamma) = -1$.

By the hypotheses of the the theorem, there exist $r, s \in U$ satisfying $u = r^2 - s^2$. Then

$$1 - \frac{u}{r^2} = \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 \in (F^\times)^2.$$

In $\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\frac{1}{2}]_\rho$ we have

$$0 = S_{\frac{1}{r^2p},\frac{u}{r^2}} = \left[\frac{1}{r^2p}\right]_\rho - \left[\frac{u}{r^2}\right]_\rho \pm [a]_\rho \pm [az]_\rho \pm [z]_\rho$$

where

$$z := \frac{1-\frac{1}{r^2p}}{1-\frac{u}{r^2}} \in \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{r^2p}\right\rangle = \left\langle -r^2p\right\rangle = \langle -p\rangle.$$

Since $\rho(-p) = \rho(p) = -1$ it follows, as Case (i), that

$$[z]_\rho = [az]_\rho = \left[\frac{1}{r^2p}\right]_\rho = 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$\left[\frac{u}{r^2}\right]_\rho = 0$$

by Lemma 4.6 since $\rho(\langle \pm u\rangle) = -1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

□

Combining this proposition with Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 4.8 above we immediately deduce:

Corollary 4.11. *Under the hypotheses of the theorem the map* $S_{\chi\times_j\psi}$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\chi\times_j\psi} \cong \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\chi}$$

for any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_k}$.

Remark 4.12. Observe that the isomorphism in this result is naturally an isomorphism of R_F-modules. The right-hand term has an R_F-module structure which depends on the the pair (ψ, j). Namely

$$(j(\langle\gamma\rangle)\cdot\langle u\rangle)\cdot x := \psi(\langle\gamma\rangle)\langle\bar{u}\rangle\cdot x \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\chi}.$$

Corollary 4.13. *Hypotheses as in Proposition 4.23. Suppose furthermore that* $|\mathcal{S}_F| < \infty$. *Then* $S_{(j,\psi)}$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{e}_{\psi}^{j(\Gamma/2)}\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

Proof. For any $\mathrm{R}_F[\frac{1}{2}]$-module M, we have $M_{(j,\psi)} \cong \mathrm{e}_{\psi}^{j(\Gamma/2)}M$ if $\Gamma/2$ is finite. It follows from Corollary 4.11 that for any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_k}$, $S_{(j,\psi)}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{e}_{\psi}^{j(\Gamma/2)}\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\chi} \cong \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\chi}.$$

However, if $\mathcal{S}_k$ is finite, then any $\mathrm{R}_k[\frac{1}{2}]$-module M decomposes canonically as

$$M = \oplus_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}_k}} M_\chi$$

and if $T : M \to N$ is any homomorphism of $\mathrm{R}_k[\frac{1}{2}]$-modules, then T is an isomorphism if and only if T_χ is for all χ. □

As a special case of this last corollary , we obtain:

Corollary 4.14. *Suppose that* $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ *and that* $|\mathcal{S}_k| < \infty$. *Let* π *be any uniformizer. Then*

$$\mathrm{e}_{-}^{\pi}\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

Corollary 4.15. *Hypotheses as in Proposition 4.23. Suppose further that* $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ *and that* $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$ *is any nontrivial character. Then* S_v *induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda} \cong \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k}$$

where

$$\lambda_k := \lambda|_{\mathcal{S}_k}.$$

Furthermore,

(1) *If* $\lambda_k \neq 1$ *then there is an induced isomorphism*

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda} \cong \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k}.$$

(2) *If* $\lambda_k = 1$*, we have*

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\lambda = \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\lambda \cong \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k} \cong \overline{\mathcal{P}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

(the last isomorphism being induced by the projection $\pi : \mathcal{RP}(k) \to \mathcal{P}(k)$*).*

Proof. Since $\lambda \neq 1$ there is a uniformizer π such that $\lambda(\pi) = -1$: Let $a \in F^\times$ with $\lambda(\langle a \rangle) = -1$. If $v(a)$ is odd, then $\langle a \rangle$ is represented by some uniformizer π. If $v(a)$ is even, then the class $\langle a \rangle$ is represented by a unit u. Let π' be any uniformizer. If $\lambda(\pi') = -1$, then π' will serve. Otherwise $\lambda(\pi) = -1$ where $\pi = \pi' u$.

Let $j : \Gamma/2 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathcal{S}_F$ be the associated splitting (sending $\bar{1}$ to $\langle \pi \rangle$). Then

$$\lambda = (-1) \times_j \lambda_k$$

and the first statement follows from Corollary 4.11.

For the statements about $\mathcal{RB}(F)$: First note that, by Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, the isomorphism

$$S_v : \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\lambda \cong \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k}$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1,F})[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\lambda \cong \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1,k})[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k}.$$

However, since $\lambda \neq 1$ we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\lambda = \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1,F})[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\lambda$$

because

$$\mathrm{S}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times)_\lambda = 0.$$

Thus

(1) If $\lambda_k \neq 1$, then the same argument with F replaced by k gives

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k} = \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1,k})[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k}$$

(2) On the other hand, if $\lambda_k = 1$ then

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1,k})[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k} = \overline{\mathcal{RP}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_k} = \overline{\mathcal{P}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

since

$$\mathrm{e}_-^{-1}\mathcal{I}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\rho = 0$$

whenever $\rho(-1) = 1$.

□

For any field F, recall that

$$\mathcal{RB}_0(F) := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{RB}(F) \to \mathcal{B}(F))$$

and similarly we define

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(F) := \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F) \to \overline{\mathcal{B}}(F))$$

If F is a field with finitely many square classes, and if M is any $\mathrm{R}_F[\tfrac{1}{2}]$-module then there is a natural decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}} \mathrm{e}_\chi M \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}} M_\chi$$

and, in particular,

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \bigoplus_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}} \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\chi = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\chi\neq 1} \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\chi\right)$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \bigoplus_{\chi\neq 1} \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\chi.$$

Corollary 4.16. *Let F be a field with a discrete value v and residue field k. Suppose that $U_1 = U_1^2$ and that either the characteristic of k is not 2 or k is perfect. Suppose further that $\left|\widehat{\mathcal{S}_k}\right| < \infty$. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \overline{\mathcal{P}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \oplus \left(\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]\right)^{\oplus 2}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} := \mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}_F} \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}_k})$. $\mathcal{H}$ contains two characters: the trivial character and the character θ defined by $\theta(\langle\bar{a}\rangle) = (-1)^{v(a)}$. Thus if $\psi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$ then $\psi_k = (\theta\psi)_k$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_k}$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{S}_k| = t$ and let $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{t-1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_k} \setminus \{1\} = \{(\psi_j)_k \mid j = 1, \ldots, t-1\}$. Thus

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}_F} = \{1, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{t-1}\} \cup \{\theta, \theta\psi_1, \ldots, \theta\psi_{t-1}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] &\cong \bigoplus_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}\setminus\{1\}} \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\chi \\
&\cong \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\theta \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\psi_j}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{\theta\psi_j}\right) \\
&\cong \overline{\mathcal{P}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{(\psi_j)_k}\right)^{\oplus 2} \\
&\cong \overline{\mathcal{P}}(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \oplus \left(\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(k)[\tfrac{1}{2}]\right)^{\oplus 2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

An argument by induction on n then gives the following version for n-dimensional local fields:

Corollary 4.17. *Let $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_n$ be fields with the following properties: For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there is a discrete valuation v_i on F_i whose residue field is F_{i-1}. Suppose furthermore that*

1. $U_1(F_i)^2 = U_1(F_i)$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, n$
2. *Either* $\mathrm{char}(F_0) \neq 2$ *or* F_0 *is perfect*
3. $\left|\mathcal{S}_{F_0}\right| < \infty$.

Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \overline{\mathcal{P}}(F_i)[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2^{n-i-1}}\right) \bigoplus \overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(F_0)[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2^n}.$$

Corollary 4.18. *Under the same hypotheses as Corollary 4.17, suppose also that F_0 is quadratically closed or real or finite. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \overline{\mathcal{P}}(F_i)[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2^{n-i-1}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $\overline{\mathcal{RB}}_0(F_0) = 0$ in these cases. □

This last result can be easily lifted to a statement about $\mathcal{RB}(F)[\frac{1}{2}]$ as follows:

Lemma 4.19. *Under the same hypotheses as Corollary 4.17, suppose that* $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F}$ *satisfies* $\lambda_{F_{i+1}} \neq 1$ *but* $\lambda_{F_i} = 1$ *for some* $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. *Suppose further that either* $\mathrm{char}(F) = 3$ *or* $\mathrm{char}(F_0) \neq 3$ *or* $\zeta_3 \in F$.

Then the map $S = \pi_i \circ S_{v_i} \circ S_{v_{i+1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_{v_n}$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{RB}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}]_\lambda \cong \mathcal{P}(F_i)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.12 we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & (\mathcal{D}_F)_\lambda \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{RB}(F)[\frac{1}{2}]_\lambda \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "S"] & \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F)[\frac{1}{2}]_\lambda \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{\mathcal{D}_{F_i}}{\mathcal{D}^0_{F_i}} \arrow[r] & \left(\frac{\mathcal{RB}(F_i)}{\mathcal{D}^0_{F_i}}[\frac{1}{2}]\right)_{\lambda_{F_i}} \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathcal{RB}}(F_i)[\frac{1}{2}]_{\lambda_{F_i}} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The right-hand vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Corollary 4.15. On the other hand, since $\lambda_{F_i} = 1$ we have

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{RB}(F_i)}{\mathcal{D}^0_{F_i}}[\tfrac{1}{2}]\right)_{\lambda_{F_i}} \cong \mathcal{P}(F_i)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

Now

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}_{F_i}}{\mathcal{D}^0_{F_i}} \cong \mathbb{Z}D_{F_i}$$

has order 1 or 3 according as $D_{F_i} = 0$ or not. But clearly $(\mathcal{D}_F)_\lambda$ has order dividing 3. Furthermore, if $D_{F_i} = 0$ then $\zeta_3 \in F_i$ and hence $\zeta_3 \in F$ so that $D_F = 0$. It follows that the left-hand vertical arrow is also an isomorphism, proving the result. □

Lemma 4.20. *Let F be a field with discrete valuation v and residue field k. Suppose that* $U_1 = U_1^2$, *that* $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 3$ *and that* $\sqrt{-3} \notin k$. *Suppose that* $\left|\overline{\mathcal{S}_k}\right| < \infty$. *Then* $\left|\overline{\mathcal{S}_F}\right| = 2 \cdot \left|\overline{\mathcal{S}_k}\right|$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k = \{u \in U \mid \bar{u} \in \mathcal{N}_k\}$. Let $\pi \in F$ be a uniformizer. It is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{N}_F = \pi^{2\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k.$$

Let $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k$. Thus $\pm\bar{u} = \bar{s}^2 + 3\bar{t}^2$ for some $s, t \in U$. Thus $\pm u = (s^2 + 3t^2)w^2 = (sw)^2 + 3(tw)^2$ for some $w \in U_1 = U_1^2$, and hence $u \in \mathcal{N}_F$.

It remains to show that $\pi \notin \mathcal{N}_F$: Suppose that $a, b \in F^\times$. Then $v(a^2 + 3b^2) \equiv v(1 + 3c^2) \pmod 2$ where $c = b/a$. If $v(c) \neq 0$ then $v(1 + 3c^2) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. On the other hand if $v(c) = 0$ then $v(1 + 3c^2) = 0$ since $1 + 3\bar{c}^2 \neq 0$ in k by hypothesis.

It follows that if $x \in \mathcal{N}_F$ then $v(x)$ is even, and thus $\pi \notin \mathcal{N}_F$ in this case. □

Corollary 4.21. *Under the same hypotheses as Corollary 4.17, suppose also that*

(1) $\mathcal{RB}_0(F_0)[\frac{1}{2}] = 0$.
(2) *Either* $\mathrm{char}(F) = 3$ *or* $\mathrm{char}(F_0) \neq 3$ *or* $\zeta_3 \in F$

Then

$$\mathcal{RB}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{P}(F_i)[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2^{n-i-1}}.$$

Proof. If $D_F = 0$ – i.e., if $\mathrm{char}(F) = 3$ or $\zeta_3 \in F$ – then $\mathcal{RB}_0(F) = \overline{\mathcal{RB}_0}(F)$ and the result follows from Corollary 4.17. Thus we can assume that $\mathrm{char}(F_0) \neq 3$ and $\zeta_3 \notin F_0$. In particular, D_{F_i} has order 3 for all i.

Let $\mathcal{H}_F := \{\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_F} \mid \lambda_{F_0} = 1\}$. Thus $|\mathcal{H}_F| = 2^n$.

If $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_F$, then $(\mathcal{D}_F)_\lambda \cong \mathbb{F}_3 D_{F_i}$ where $i = i(\lambda) := \max\{j \geq 0 \mid \lambda_{F_j} = 1\}$, and this module has order 3 by the argument of Lemma 4.19. It follows that the submodule of $\mathcal{D}_F$

$$\oplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_F} (\mathcal{D}_F)_\lambda \cong \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_F} \mathbb{F}_3 D_{F_{i(\lambda)}}$$

is an $\mathbb{F}_3$-vector space of dimension 2^n.

On the other hand, $\left|\overline{\mathcal{S}}_F\right| = 2^n$ by Lemma 4.20 and thus $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\widehat{\mathrm{R}_F}) = 2^n$. It follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\mathcal{D}_F) \leq 2^n$ (since this is a cyclic $\widehat{\mathrm{R}_F}$-module). Therefore

$$\mathcal{D}_F = \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_F} (\mathcal{D}_F)_\lambda$$

and hence

$$(\mathcal{D}_F)_\lambda = 0$$

whenever $\lambda_{F_0} \neq 1$.

Thus

$$\mathcal{RB}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_F \setminus \{1\}} \mathcal{RB}(F) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{P}(F_i)[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2^{n-i-1}}$$

as required. □

We note the following corollary of the proof:

Corollary 4.22. *If F satisfies the conditions of 4.21 then $\mathcal{D}_F$ is free $\widehat{\mathrm{R}_F}$-module of rank one.*

Whenever $|\mathcal{S}_F| < \infty$ we have

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) = K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \oplus \mathcal{RB}_0(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

Thus we immediately deduce:

Theorem 4.23. *Let $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_n = F$ be fields with the following properties: For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there is a discrete valuation v_i on F_i whose residue field is F_{i-1}. Suppose furthermore that*

1. $U_1(F_i)^2 = U_1(F_i)$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, n$
2. *If* $\mathrm{char}(F_0) = 2$ *then* F_0 *is perfect*
3. *Either* $\mathrm{char}(F) = 3$ *or* $\mathrm{char}(F_0) \neq 3$ *or* $\zeta_3 \in F$
4. $\left|\mathcal{S}_{F_0}\right| < \infty$.
5. $\mathcal{RB}_0(F_0)[\tfrac{1}{2}] = 0$.
6. $F_0^\times = \langle -1 \rangle \cdot N_{E_0/F_0}(E_0^\times)$ *where* $E_0 = F_0(\zeta_3)$.

Then

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \cong K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{P}(F_i)[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2^{n-i-1}} \right).$$

Remark 4.24. By 2.3, conditions (4), (5) and (6) are satisfied if F_0 is either quadratically closed, real closed or finite.

Remark 4.25. If $\mathrm{char}(F_0) \neq 2$, then the condition $U_1 = U_1^2$ will follow if v_i is complete, but it is clearly a much weaker condition in general.

Remark 4.26. Condition (1) of the theorem will usually not hold for complete discrete valued fields with residue field of characteristic 2. For example, it does not hold for the field $\mathbb{Q}_2$. However, as noted in [7], it would appear that the Theorem sometimes hold in such cases. For example, the author has confirmed that

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_2), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \cong K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(\mathbb{Q}_2)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \oplus \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_2)[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

The calculations required are, however, quite long and intricate.

Remark 4.27. If (3) fails – i.e. if $\mathrm{char}(F_0) = 3 \neq \mathrm{char}(F)$ and $\zeta_3 \notin F$ – then our arguments above about the eigenspaces of $\mathcal{D}_F$ are not complete: it could happen that $D_F \neq 0$ even though $D_{F_0} = 0$. Similarly, if (6) fails to be true then it may happen that $(\mathcal{D}_F)_\lambda = 0$ even when $\lambda \notin \mathcal{H}_F$.

In either of these cases the arguments above show that, if (1), (2), (4) and (5) hold then there is a natural surjection

$$\mathrm{H}_3(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \twoheadrightarrow K_3^{\mathrm{ind}}(F)[\tfrac{1}{2}] \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{P}(F_i)[\tfrac{1}{2}]^{\oplus 2^{n-i-1}} \right)$$

with finite kernel annihilated by 3.

References

[1] Spencer J. Bloch. *Higher regulators, algebraic K-theory, and zeta functions of elliptic curves*, volume 11 of *CRM Monograph Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. 1

[2] Jean-Louis Cathelineau. Homologie du groupe linéaire et polylogarithmes (d'après A. B. Goncharov et d'autres). *Astérisque*, (216):Exp. No. 772, 5, 311–341, 1993. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1992/93. 1

[3] Johan L. Dupont and Chih Han Sah. Scissors congruences. II. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 25(2):159–195, 1982. 2

[4] Philippe Elbaz-Vincent. The indecomposable K_3 of rings and homology of SL_2. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 132(1):27–71, 1998. 2

[5] Alexander Goncharov. Volumes of hyperbolic manifolds and mixed Tate motives. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(2):569–618, 1999. 1

[6] Kevin Hutchinson. A Bloch-Wigner complex for SL_2. *arXiv:1107.0264*. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

[7] Kevin Hutchinson. A refined Bloch group and the third homology of SL_2 of a field. *arXiv:1101.3279*. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 19

[8] Kevin Hutchinson and Liqun Tao. The third homology of the special linear group of a field. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213:1665–1680, 2009. 1

[9] Kevin Hutchinson and Liqun Tao. Homology stability for the special linear group of a field and Milnor-Witt K-theory. *Doc. Math.*, (Extra Vol.):267–315, 2010. 1

[10] Marc Levine. The indecomposable K_3 of fields. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 22(2):255–344, 1989. 6

[11] Hideya Matsumoto. Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semi-simples déployés. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 2:1–62, 1969. 1

[12] B. Mirzaii. Third homology of general linear groups. *J. Algebra*, 320(5):1851–1877, 2008. 1, 2, 3

[13] Calvin C. Moore. Group extensions of p-adic and adelic linear groups. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (35):157–222, 1968. 1

[14] Walter D. Neumann and Jun Yang. Bloch invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 96(1):29–59, 1999. 1

[15] Walter Parry and Chih-Han Sah. Third homology of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ made discrete. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 30(2):181–209, 1983. 5

[16] Chih-Han Sah. Homology of classical Lie groups made discrete. III. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 56(3):269–312, 1989. 1

[17] A. A. Suslin. Torsion in K_2 of fields. *K-Theory*, 1(1):5–29, 1987. 1

[18] A. A. Suslin. K_3 of a field, and the Bloch group. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 183:180–199, 229, 1990. Translated in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **1991**, no. 4, 217–239, Galois theory, rings, algebraic groups and their applications (Russian). 1, 2, 3, 5, 6

[19] Wilberd van der Kallen. Homology stability for linear groups. *Invent. Math.*, 60(3):269–295, 1980. 1

[20] Don Zagier. The dilogarithm function. In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. II*, pages 3–65. Springer, Berlin, 2007. 1

School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Dublin

E-mail address: `kevin.hutchinson@ucd.ie`